Organization IC 3600

\_ Bldg./Room -

P.O. Box 1450 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Official Business Penalty For Private Use, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

WW OLD FROM CODE 22314 \$ 00.37° AUG 24 2005

NIXIE CENTER 39 08/28/05

NOT KNOWN

BC: 22313145050 \*0917-01595-24-41

Inhibition In Illiand Inhibition Indiana India

10173+1999-98 2008



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 01/04/2001 **Enrique Posner** 878-007 3489 09/754,827 EXAMINER 08/24/2005 SOFER & HAROUN, L.L.P. HAMILTON, LALITA M Suite 1921 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 342 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10173 3624

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

OIPE/IAP

AUG 3 1 2005

Notice of Abandonment	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/754,827	POSNER, ENRIQUE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lalita M. Hamilton	3624
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
This application is abandoned in view of:		
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>February 8, 2005</u>.</li> <li>(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on</li> </ol>		
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.  (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the		
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).		
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.		
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> <li>(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>		
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$		
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).		
<ul> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> <li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	nsmission dated), which is
(b) \( \sum \) No corrected drawings have been received.	•	·
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.		
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.		
7.  The reason(s) below:		
On August 16, 2005, a message was left for Josep VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600	h Sofer. The call was not returne	d.
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to		

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

## NEW CENTRAL FAX NUMBER

Effective July 15, 2005

On <u>July 15, 2005</u>, the Central FAX Number will change to **571-273-8300**. This new Central FAX Number is the result of relocating the Central FAX server to the Office's Alexandria, Virginia campus.

Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number. To give customers time to adjust to the new Central FAX Number, faxes sent to the old number (703-872-9306) will be routed to the new number until September 15, 2005.

After September 15, 2005, the old number will no longer be in service and 571-273-8300 will be the only facsimile number recognized for "centralized delivery".

CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number.